

REMARKS

Applicants acknowledge receipt of the Final Office Action of July 6, 2007. In response to the action, Applicants have:

- a) Reinserted the definitions of “Het” and “Ar” into Claims 1 and 4; and
- b) Amended the dependency wording of Claims 3 and 6 as suggested by the Examiner; and
- c) Canceled Claim 7.

Applicants submit that these amendments overcome the rejections based on 35 U.S.C. 112. Applicants submit that the insertion of definitions into the claims is not necessary as duplicative of the definitions already present in the specification. Nevertheless, Applicants have re-inserted the definitions into claims 1 and 4 as suggested. Applicants request a telephone interview to discuss the amendments and rejections if the Examiner is so inclined. With the above amendments, Applicants submit that all of the Claims (1-6, 9 and 11-12) are in proper form for allowance.

Should there be any questions regarding this Response, the Examiner may please contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Thomas J. Dodd/

Thomas J. Dodd
Reg. No. 31010
Attorney for Applicants
732-524-2782

Johnson & Johnson
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003
(732) 524-2782
Dated: August 7, 2007